## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE:	Walla Walla	District					
FILE NUMBER:	NWW No. 03	32300170					
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Nicholle M. l	Rowell		Date:	October 19, 2005		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:	In the office (Y/N)			_Date:	October 19, 2005		
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:	At the proje	ct site (Y/N)	Y	_Date:	March 21-22, 2005		
State:		Idaho					
County:	Bonner						
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates:			Zone: 11 Northing: 5315695.59 Easting: 523765.27				
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres):			Unknown (project corridor)				
Name of waterway or watershed:			Wetland E in Lake Pend Oreille Watershed				

## SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands		X							
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
ler i de la de	_								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known		If Unknown			
			I	l Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make	
			to Occur	Occur	Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by			X			
Migratory Bird Treaties?						
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that			X			
cross state lines?						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X		
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated,						
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.						

## TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary	X	$\mathbf{Or}$	Approved
-------------	---	---------------	----------

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Wetland E is not jurisdictional because it is an isolated, non-navigable intrastate water with no nexus to interstate commerce. It is connected to Granite Lake but water flows out of Granite Lake to Wetland E through a unnamed tributary. Water from Wetland E flows to Wetland F does not flow into any other waters of the U.S. Therefore, there is no potential for the use, degradation, or destruction of Wetland E to affect any other water of the U.S. In addition, it is not subject to boating and is not open to the general public for use. Migratory birds may use this waterway. However, there is no other evidence of its use in interstate or foreign commerce. Based on Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (531 U.S. 159(2001)), isolated, non-navigable intrastate waters are not jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act if the sole interstate commerce nexus is the use of such waters by migratory birds or other factors in the Migratory Bird Rule.

**Deleted:** It has no apparent surface water connection to and does not flow into any other waters of the United States.